RZLOS review criteria

Criteria: Rate each category on a scale of 1-10 regarding how candidate fulfills criteria, providing written descriptions to support rating **1 – minimal achievement** **5 – adequate achievement** **10 – exceptional achievement**	Rater's Name:	Rater's Name:	Rater's Name:
Depth, breadth, and impact of contributions to **occupational science scholarship** Consider: • Peer-reviewed journal articles, books, book chapters, etc. • Publications in non-OS literatures that explicitly name and/or translate occupational science • Diverse scholarly products (different from those named above)			
Evidence of **curiosity, vision, and/or innovation regarding occupation and occupational science** Definitions: • Curiosity: a continuous disposition of inquiry about OS and/or occupations • Vision: a desire to question or put forth ideas about what OS can and should be, and/or about what occupations can and should do • Innovation: new ideas, or new approaches to existing ideas, that enhance knowledge about OS and/or occupations			
Evidence of effort to build **occupational science community** Consider: • Contributions to SSO:USA • Contributions to societies, organizations, or committees dedicated to OS (outside SSO:USA) • Program development • Mentorship			
Total points (30 possible)			
Ranking based on points			